

# Steel Dynamics - Qualifying the Columbus Mill

**Internal ID:** S&F-STR-525

**Topic:** Operations Strategy, Customer Strategy, Corporate Strategy

**Industry:** Steel

**Source:** Strategy & Finance

**Publication date:** 5 October 2026

**License:** Not specified

**Decision date and information cutoff:** 24 April 2024

**Decision scope:** Customer qualification and initial product sequencing at the Columbus, Mississippi, aluminum project

**Case convention:** This author-created decision exercise uses public historical disclosures. The role and assignment are hypothetical; no private deliberations are represented.

## The decision

Steel Dynamics, Inc. (SDI) was building a recycled-aluminum flat-rolled mill in Columbus, Mississippi. The company expected operations to begin in mid-2025. The mill was designed for 650,000 tonnes of annual finished-product capacity, serving beverage packaging, automotive and common-alloy industrial markets. Two slab centers were intended to support its feedstock system. [P1], Note 1, pp.63-64; [P3], “The Project.”

The project was far into execution. The FY2023 10-K described a current \$2.7 billion investment plan for the mill and both slab centers, with spending expected through early 2025. An earlier July 2022 announcement separately gave a \$1.9 billion estimate for the mill and approximately \$350 million for the two centers-about \$2.25 billion combined. The disclosures do not explain the difference. They describe plans at different dates; the record does not establish a cost overrun. [P1], Item 7, p.47; [P3], “The Project.”

SDI had no commercial aluminum-mill production history to guide the launch. It held 94.4% of the rolling-mill joint venture at year-end 2023; Unity Aluminum was the minority partner. Management had pointed to Unity’s aluminum operating expertise and SDI’s steel construction, recycling, and customer relationships as advantages. [P1], Note 1, pp.63-64; [P3], “The Project” and “Strategic Advantages.”

**Assignment:** You are advising the hypothetical launch team. Recommend how SDI should sequence customer qualification and the initial product portfolio within the Columbus project. Should it focus early production on a narrower set of repeatable products while qualifying other markets in parallel, or attempt to serve several end markets from the start? Set measurable gates for expanding the mix or accelerating output. Treat the mill and slab-center investments as underway; the decision is not whether to build or cancel them. Use only information public by 24 April 2024.

## **A large system, not just a rolling mill**

At full capacity, SDI expected the mill to need about 900,000 tonnes of slab annually. Its 2022 plan called for producing roughly half of recycled slab needs onsite, with the balance supplied by centers in Arizona and Mexico. These were management's design assumptions, not demonstrated supply performance. The disclosures did not provide alloy-specific scrap availability, slab transfer economics, production yields, or logistics costs. [P3], "The Project."

SDI described the mill as serving packaging, automotive and common-alloy industrial customers. Management also said a significant number of its flat-rolled steel customers used or processed aluminum flat-rolled products. Beverage-can packaging was described as countercyclical. The company had not disclosed a customer list, contracted tonnage, qualification status, product-level prices or margins. Customer overlap and countercyclicality were strategic propositions to test, not booked demand or measured diversification. [P2], "Outlook."

The project combined new-metal process demands with existing capabilities. SDI had experience building and operating steel flat-roll capacity, a metals-recycling platform, and a large customer base. Aluminum alloys, scrap chemistry, casting and rolling controls, and customer specifications still required aluminum-specific routines. The JV partner brought relevant operating experience, but the public filings did not describe detailed operating roles, knowledge-transfer arrangements or incentives. [P1], Item 1 and Note 1; [P3], "Strategic Advantages."

## **What the early results do-and do not-say**

SDI reported a \$23.8 million aluminum segment operating loss for 2023 and a \$13.5 million loss for the first quarter of 2024. The 10-K said the 2023 segment result consisted of construction and start-up costs, with no production or shipment results. These development-phase losses are not commercial mill margins and do not show whether steady-state operations will earn a return. [P1], Item 7, pp.40-41 and Note 13; [P2], supplemental segment table.

SDI reported \$374.3 million in companywide PP&E purchases and \$355.2 million of companywide operating cash flow in Q1 2024, with \$3.1 billion of liquidity at quarter end. These totals cover the company, not just Columbus; they cannot be assigned to the aluminum project. The 10-K reported that 2023 companywide capital investment was primarily in aluminum and steel. [P1], Item 7, p.47; [P2], supplemental cash-flow and balance-sheet tables.

SDI's 2023 revenue mix also shows why management might pursue diversification. Steel operations represented 67% of consolidated net sales, metals recycling 12%, and steel fabrication 15%. Steel sales volumes increased while selling prices and operating income fell amid spread compression. Segment percentages are rounded and the businesses have different commodity exposures. They do not establish that aluminum demand would offset steel-cycle movements. [P1], Item 7, pp.42-44.

## Exhibit 1. Project disclosures by date

| Disclosure                       | Scope                      | Amount or capacity                                      | Timing / qualification                                                                                      |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| July 2022 announcement           | Rolling mill               | \$1.9bn; 650,000 tonnes/year finished-product nameplate | At announcement, operations expected in Q1 2025; management plan, not realized output. [P3]                 |
| July 2022 announcement           | Two slab centers           | Approximately \$350m combined                           | Together with the mill, approximately \$2.25bn based on separately disclosed estimates. [P3]                |
| FY2023 10-K, filed February 2024 | Mill and both slab centers | Current \$2.7bn investment plan                         | Related spending began Q3 2022 and was expected through early 2025; mill operations expected mid-2025. [P1] |
| April 2024 Q1 release            | Mill                       | No updated cost figure                                  | Management continued to expect mid-2025 operations. [P2]                                                    |

The filings do not explain the change from the 2022 figures to the current \$2.7 billion plan. Do not infer its cause. Nameplate capacity is a design figure, not salable output: uptime, product mix, quality, yield, qualification and demand affect shipments.

## Exhibit 2. Launch choices to evaluate

| Approach                                                                                                             | Potential advantage                                                                      | Main exposure                                                                                                  |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Focus early commercial production on a smaller number of specifications and customer groups; preserve trial capacity | Stable recipes may make defects easier to diagnose and establish reliable quality sooner | Slower volume build, concentrated demand, or delayed revenue from other markets                                |
| Qualify multiple end markets in parallel and seek a broad initial mix                                                | More addressable demand and a chance to diversify customer and end-market exposure       | More specifications, inputs and qualification tasks may compete for engineering attention and disrupt learning |
| Qualify broadly but release production in gates by product family                                                    | Preserves commercial options while limiting simultaneous production complexity           | Requires coordination and evidence-based thresholds; cautious gates may leave capacity underused               |

These are classroom alternatives, not a claim about SDI's chosen launch plan. A credible recommendation may combine parallel customer qualification with sequenced production. Specify what "ready" means and who should approve a change in mix.

## Questions for discussion

1. Which parts of SDI's steel, recycling and construction experience are most likely to help Columbus? Which capabilities require deliberate transfer or new learning?
2. How should the launch team balance repeatability and learning against early volume and end-market breadth? What should it qualify in parallel, and what should it produce first?

3. Define gates for adding a product family or increasing output. Which measures would establish customer readiness, process stability and economic contribution?
4. How should SDI test its claims about existing-customer overlap and countercyclical packaging demand without confusing interest with committed, profitable orders?
5. What additional data would most change your recommendation? State how you would proceed while those data remain unavailable.

## Sources and conventions

**P1.** Steel Dynamics, Inc., [2023 Form 10-K](#), filed 29 February 2024, FY ended 31 December 2023. Aluminum segment and results, Item 7, pp.40-47, Note 1, pp.63-64, and Note 13, pp.89-91.

**P2.** Steel Dynamics, Inc., [First Quarter 2024 Results](#), 23 April 2024. “Outlook,” cash-flow and liquidity tables, and supplemental segment table.

**P3.** Steel Dynamics, Inc., [Aluminum mill investment announcement](#), 19 July 2022. “The Project” and “Strategic Advantages.”

Company statements about plans, customer overlap, operating expertise, market cycles and strategic benefits are attributed as management views. Capital amounts are presented with their dates and stated scopes. Nameplate capacity is not salable capacity. Construction/start-up expense is not commercial performance. The case contains no later outcomes or academic effect estimates. The operating gates students recommend are their own assumptions and should be labeled as such.