

Quanta Services: Where Should the Next Crew Go?

Internal ID: S&F-STR-468

Topic: Customer Strategy, Operations Strategy, Pricing Strategy

Industry: Construction & Engineering

Source: Strategy & Finance

Publication date: 5 October 2026

License: Not specified

Student strategy case | Information cutoff: August 31, 2026, 11:59 p.m. ET

A capacity decision behind a record workload

On July 30, Quanta Services reported \$53.4 billion of backlog as of June 30, 2026. The company had raised its 2026 expectations and described demand across utility infrastructure, power generation, renewables, and large-load projects. For this classroom exercise, assume crews, project managers, specialized equipment, and experienced operating leaders may be constrained across competing opportunities; public disclosures do not quantify whether or where Quanta faced binding capacity constraints. Some work depended on customer schedules, permits, interconnection, and changing scope; other customer programs offered repeat work but no promised minimum volume.

For this case, assume Quanta's executive team is preparing its FY2027 operating plan. It must decide how to govern scarce capacity and which commitments to make durable. Should it keep allocating through its existing operating companies and customer programs, selectively expand in-house capacity through recruiting, training, equipment, or acquisitions, or preserve flexibility with staged and partner commitments? No public source establishes that Quanta has made this specific FY2027 decision or approved a particular capacity plan.

The question is not simply which end market will grow fastest. The team must assess project readiness, repeatability, labor and equipment constraints, customer concentration, execution and safety, and the cost of committing too early or waiting too long.

What the workload measures do-and do not-say

Quanta's Q2 Form 10-Q reported \$53.440 billion total backlog at June 30: \$33.554 billion of remaining performance obligations (RPO) and \$19.886 billion in a combined category of estimated master service agreement (MSA) orders and short-term non-fixed-price contracts. The backlog table also reported \$32.314 billion of total backlog estimated within 12 months, including \$23.515 billion of RPO. The remaining \$8.799 billion is still part of the combined category; the filing does not split it between MSA orders and short-term non-fixed-price work.

In a separate disclosure, Quanta said MSAs represented 41% of total backlog and 33% of estimated 12-month backlog. These MSA-only percentages are not reconciled to the combined dollar category in the backlog table. Many MSAs do not commit customers to specified volumes and can be terminated on short notice. Do not use either disclosure to infer an unreported standalone MSA-dollar amount.

RPO is management's estimate of remaining revenue on firm fixed-price orders and certain qualifying unit-price work. It includes some estimated change orders and claims considered earned and probable of collection. Quanta estimated that 70% of RPO would convert to revenue in the following 12 months, subject to timing changes. Neither RPO nor total backlog guarantees crew availability, project contribution, margin, or cash collection. Backlog is not recognized revenue; revenue is not cash.

These categories matter to a capacity decision. A signed or otherwise firm order, an estimated MSA volume, a customer forecast, a project award, construction activity, recognized revenue, and a cash receipt are different evidence states. Public disclosures do not show which individual jobs will require which crews in FY2027.

A diversified contractor, with local operating choices

Quanta provides engineering, procurement, construction, upgrade, repair, and maintenance services across electric and gas utilities, generation, renewables, large-load facilities, pipelines, communications, and industrial infrastructure. Its operating companies are decentralized. The FY2025 Form 10-K reported approximately 69,500 employees at year-end, including 55,700 hourly and 13,800 salaried workers; hourly headcount varies with project volume. These are historical, company-wide figures, not a map of available FY2027 craft labor by trade or geography.

The company identifies recruiting, retention, training, safety, and the availability of skilled craft labor and experienced project managers as operating risks. Its Q2 filing says resource allocation uses segment operating income and forecast-to-actual variances, but does not publish the detailed allocation rule. Public sources also do not quantify current crew utilization, training yield, equipment availability, subcontractor flexibility, bid funnel, project-manager workload, or project-level margins.

In Q2, Quanta reported \$7.838 billion of Electric revenue and \$898.2 million of Electric segment operating income, and \$1.719 billion of Underground and Infrastructure revenue and \$155.8 million of segment operating income. These broad segment results combine geographies, work types, contract structures, and acquired businesses. Management attributed year-over-year growth and margin changes to a mix of demand, execution, acquisitions, and fixed-cost absorption. The figures do not establish that one end market or contract type offers superior risk-adjusted returns.

First-half operating cash flow was \$1.487 billion. The company also reported \$930.3 million of cash used for acquisitions and \$451.0 million of capital expenditures. Quanta recognized \$411.1 million of revenue related to unapproved change orders and claims at June 30, included primarily in contract assets; the largest component had been approved during the first half. A renewable transmission project completed in 2024 still had claims under review. Strong consolidated cash flow does not establish that every project converts smoothly or that more capacity is available without working-capital and equipment commitments.

Expansion options and demand signals

Quanta announced acquisitions that added craft, design/right-of-way, fabrication, mechanical, and generation or data-center capabilities. The Q2 release estimated four acquisitions would contribute \$1.2 billion to \$1.4 billion in 2026 revenue and \$120 million to \$140 million in adjusted EBITDA. Those are company estimates, not realized output or deal-level returns. Enerfab closed in July, after the second quarter. Quanta said future equipment capital spending, equipment commitments, and strategic manufacturing-facility expansion would be needed to meet anticipated demand. Public disclosures do not report acquired-company retention, utilization, integration, or safety outcomes by deal.

Management associates utility investment with modernization, reliability, and hardening programs. It also cites data centers, technology, manufacturing reshoring, electrification, generation needs, and renewable interconnection as demand drivers. Those explanations are management's view. Federal and regional planning reports and utility resource plans offer evidence of future needs, but forecasts and interconnection requests are not signed customer commitments, contractor awards, approved projects, or work ready to start.

Two public project examples show why stage and attribution matter:

- **SunZia:** Developer Pattern Energy named Quanta for the roughly 550-mile transmission line and Quanta operating company Blattner for the wind project; Hitachi was selected for converter stations. The Bureau of Land Management issued a Record of Decision in May 2023. Pattern reported a notice to proceed and construction start in September 2023 ([NTP announcement](#)), then announced in June 2026 that the project was fully operational. These owner and agency records establish specific roles and dated project states, not Quanta's project economics, independently audited schedule, or customer satisfaction. A July 2026 power-purchase agreement with SRP called for 400 MW beginning in fall 2026 and a ramp to 600 MW by summer 2027; these future delivery milestones had not occurred by the case cutoff ([Pattern/SRP PPA announcement](#)).
- **Colorado's Power Pathway:** Xcel Energy identifies Quanta as prime contractor and construction manager on a planned roughly 560-mile, 345 kV project intended to connect up to 5.5 GW of planned generation. Xcel's January 2023 update named Quanta as prime contractor and reported permitting and land-rights work remained; its July 2024 update said Quanta managed all construction and reported segments 2 and 3 underway, segment 4 starting, and segment 5 planned for 2027 ([January 2023 update](#); [July 2024 update](#)). An independent engineer's August 2025 report recorded segments 2 and 3 energized ahead of plan, while poor soil slowed segment 1, segment 4 construction had started, and permitting and schedule risks remained for segment 5. Colorado decisions in 2026 advanced county land-use authorizations. No newer independent report located by the cutoff verified segment 1 completion or segment 5 construction start. The engineer relied on supplied records and judgment; the report is not an audit of Quanta cost or customer satisfaction.

These examples establish Quanta's role only where the cited primary records name it. They do not show that Quanta is involved in other customer or utility projects mentioned in planning documents.

Market and organizational evidence

Quanta's peers report large workloads too, but their definitions differ. MYR Group reported \$3.16 billion of June 30, 2026 backlog (\$1.27 billion transmission and distribution; \$1.89 billion commercial and industrial) and Q2 revenue of \$1.08 billion ([July 29 Q2 release](#), backlog and segment-results tables). MasTec reported \$21.391 billion of 18-month backlog, including \$5.461 billion Communications, \$7.791 billion Clean Energy & Infrastructure, \$6.347 billion Power Delivery, and \$1.792 billion Pipeline Infrastructure; about 40% was from MSAs or other service agreements without committed minimum volume ([Q2 Form 10-Q](#), Item 2 p. 29; [July 30 Q2 release exhibit](#), results and outlook tables). Primoris reported \$13.856 billion of backlog, split between \$5.704 billion fixed and \$8.152 billion MSA, and disclosed cost overruns on six unnamed renewable projects, citing redesign, sequencing, labor productivity, subsurface conditions, and weather ([Q2 Form 10-Q](#), Item 2 pp. 31-33; [August 4 Q2 release](#), results tables). Do not compare these totals as equivalent measures or treat another contractor's overrun as evidence about Quanta.

Research offers questions for the allocation process, not company-specific answers. A qualitative study of 30 Danish firms found that formal project portfolios could miss smaller and locally initiated work, while tracking everything could create administrative burden and reduce flexibility. A single-company study of project-manager assignments in a Botswana mining organization offers a checklist of criteria such as project alignment, location, team dispersion, and manager workload, but it does not test whether a formal tool improves outcomes. Abstract-only studies on craft labor and dynamic capabilities remain provisional: their findings should not be used as Quanta productivity estimates or investment returns.

The FY2027 choice

The executive team can consider three feasible approaches, separately or in combination:

1. **Continue allocating through current operating companies and programs.** Preserve local customer relationships and redeploy people and equipment as projects progress. Decide which recurring programs merit priority when awards, MSA volumes, and schedules change.
2. **Build durable in-house capacity selectively.** Recruit and train for constrained trades, commit to equipment or facilities, and use focused acquisitions where a capability or geography is hard to develop organically. Determine what demand and readiness evidence justifies permanent commitments and how safety, retention, and integration will be assessed.
3. **Stage commitments and use partner capacity.** Advance early planning and customer coordination, then release labor, equipment, or capital as customer, permit, interconnection, and project milestones clear. Define what remains in-house, where partner capacity is credible, and how the company avoids losing schedule or customer trust while waiting.

Each path has costs. Permanent capacity may arrive before work is ready or consume management attention; waiting may forfeit a qualified opportunity or delay mobilization. Partners may add flexibility but reduce direct control over availability and execution. Repeat customer programs may improve visibility but include uncertain volumes. Public evidence does not establish which constraint is binding at Quanta or what each path would earn.

Questions for discussion

1. What should the FY2027 capacity decision optimize: readiness, repeatability, margin, safety, customer continuity, flexibility, or another criterion? How should leaders handle conflicts among them?
2. How should Quanta distinguish RPO, the combined MSA/short-term non-fixed-price backlog bucket, separate MSA-share disclosures, awards, forecast demand, revenue, and cash when deciding how much capacity to commit?
3. Which work should receive first call on scarce craft labor, project managers, and equipment? What evidence would make utility programs, large-load or generation work, and renewables comparable?
4. When should Quanta recruit, train, buy equipment, acquire a business, or rely on partners? What information is needed to assess availability, safety, integration, and utilization?
5. What staged gates would you use for uncertain work? Identify the evidence, decision owner, review timing, and consequence if a gate is missed.
6. How can a company-wide allocation process see material local commitments without imposing costly controls on every small task?

Exhibit 1. Selected facts at June 30, 2026

Measure or evidence	Reported value/status	Interpretation limit
Total backlog	\$53.440bn: \$33.554bn RPO and \$19.886bn combined estimated MSA orders/short-term non-fixed-price work	Management estimate; does not establish guaranteed revenue, staffing, margin, or cash
Estimated within 12 months	\$32.314bn total, including \$23.515bn RPO	The \$8.799bn residual remains an unsplit combined category
Separate MSA disclosures	41% of total backlog; 33% of estimated 12-month backlog	MSA-only shares, not reconciled to combined table dollars
Q2 segment results	Electric: \$7.838bn revenue / \$898.2m operating income; Underground & Infrastructure: \$1.719bn / \$155.8m	Broad segment figures; acquisition, mix, and execution effects; not project returns
FY2025 workforce	About 69,500 employees, including 55,700 hourly	Historical company-wide headcount, not FY2027 available crews
Acquisitions	Four acquisitions estimated by Quanta to add \$1.2-\$1.4bn 2026 revenue and \$120-\$140m adjusted EBITDA	Forward-looking company estimates; not deal-level realized capacity or returns
SunZia	Quanta/Blattner named for transmission/wind scope; owner reported fully operational June 2026	Specific project attribution; not independently audited cost, schedule, or quality
Colorado's Power Pathway	Quanta identified as prime contractor/construction manager; mixed segment progress and permitting history	Latest independent construction report found was August 2025; later completion not verified

Selected source locators

- Quanta [Q2 2026 Form 10-Q](#), backlog pp. 39-41; segment results pp. 36-39; Note 2 pp. 16-18; cash flow and DSO pp. 42-43; resource allocation Note 15 p. 24.
- Quanta [Q2 2026 results release](#); FY2025 [Form 10-K](#), workforce and operating-risk discussion pp. 13-15, 27-29.
- Pattern's SunZia contractor announcement, BLM project record, Pattern September 2023 NTP announcement, Pattern June 2026 operations announcement, and Pattern/SRP July 2026 PPA announcement.
- Xcel Colorado's Power Pathway filings, January 2023 project update, July 2024 construction update, Barr Engineering August 2025 report, and project decision log.
- MYR [July 29 Q2 release](#); MasTec [Q2 Form 10-Q](#), Item 2 p. 29, and [July 30 release exhibit](#); Primoris [Q2 Form 10-Q](#), Item 2 pp. 31-33, and [August 4 release](#).
- FERC [Order 1920 explainer](#); NERC [2025 Long-Term Reliability Assessment](#); EIA [AEO2026 data-center analysis](#).
- Academic mechanisms: Blichfeldt and Eskerod (2008), [DOI](#); Karimi et al. (2017), [DOI](#); Jin et al. (2019), [DOI](#); Seboni and Tutesigensi (2015), [DOI](#).