

# Fiserv: Where should Clover grow next?

**Internal ID:** S&F-STR-259

**Topic:** Growth Strategy, Customer Strategy, Capital Allocation

**Industry:** Transaction & Payment Processing Services

**Source:** Strategy & Finance

**Publication date:** 5 October 2026

**License:** Not specified

**Decision date:** 22 February 2024

**Information cutoff:** 22 February 2024

Fiserv has reported rapid growth in Clover, its cloud-based point-of-sale and integrated-commerce platform for small and midsize businesses. As the company plans its next commercial cycle, the strategic question is where to direct additional attention: deepen use of value-added solutions among current merchants, reach more merchants through distribution partners and new routes, or stage both approaches while building better evidence.

The public record gives Fiserv's scale and strategic emphasis, but it does not disclose Clover merchant cohorts, product-level attachment, channel economics, or incremental returns. The choice is therefore about priorities and what evidence management should require before scaling-not a numerical investment case.

## Clover and the reported results

Fiserv describes Clover as a cloud-based POS and commerce operating system combining hardware, software, payment acceptance, online ordering, e-commerce and customer-engagement features. Its Acceptance business reaches merchants through direct sales, agents, independent software vendors (ISVs), financial institutions and other partners. Those channels create multiple ways to broaden Clover's reach, although public filings do not compare their Clover-specific economics.[1]

Fiserv's February 2024 presentation reported **30% Clover revenue growth** in the fourth quarter, **\$271 billion of annualized Clover gross payment volume (GPV), up 17%**, and **19% VAS penetration**. The slide expands GPV as gross payment volume and VAS as value-added solutions, but does not specify how GPV is annualized or the denominator and calculation for VAS penetration. It also does not define the basis for Clover's revenue-growth figure. Treat these as company-reported indicators, not a reproducible measure of active use, service attachment, or revenue share.[2]

The same presentation reported 24% fourth-quarter organic revenue growth for the broader Merchant Acceptance segment. Fiserv's Form 10-K attributes Acceptance growth to acquiring volumes, international operations, contributions from Clover and Carat, and expansion of merchant relationships through VAS. These are segment-wide results and management's explanation; they do not isolate Clover or establish that VAS caused the growth.[1][2]

In an earlier shareholder letter, management reported Clover VAS penetration of 16% at year-end 2022, versus 13% a year earlier. The letter highlighted Clover Capital and stated management's view that demand and retention were increasing. The documents do not define the VAS-penetration denominator, so comparing the 2022 and 2023 figures assumes consistent construction. The letter's demand and retention statements are management claims, not causal estimates.[3]

Fiserv's 2024 outlook was for company-wide organic revenue growth of 15%-17% and adjusted EPS of \$8.55-\$8.70. The forecast was not a Clover target. The company also described continued investment in value-added services.[4]

## The strategic choice

The company's filings describe integrated merchant tools and ISV relationships as opportunities while warning that customers may reduce spending on VAS. Neither disclosure says which growth route has the best economics. Fiserv has not publicly identified a fungible Clover budget or quantified the cost, retention, service use, or contribution of each route.[1]

Three approaches merit consideration:

1. **Deepen VAS use among current Clover merchants.** Focus commercial attention on selecting, onboarding and supporting additional services for merchants already using Clover. This could build on the company's emphasis on VAS, but the public metrics do not show which merchants are eligible, which services they use, whether use persists, or whether additional services improve merchant or Fiserv economics.
2. **Broaden merchant reach.** Put more emphasis on channel partners, ISVs, financial institutions, agents, direct sales, or selected verticals and markets. The company identifies these routes, but public sources do not disclose comparative Clover acquisition costs, activation rates, or performance by channel.
3. **Stage both approaches.** Select comparable merchant or channel groups, define measures before rollout, and expand only after reviewing the evidence. This may preserve both opportunities while improving measurement, but the public record does not establish which pilots are feasible or how quickly they could produce reliable evidence.

## Research lens

Research on small-retailer payment acceptance finds that merchant adoption and consumer use interact with infrastructure, merchant practices and payment-system conditions. A separate French study's abstract reports transaction changes after contactless-card acceptance. These studies suggest measuring activation and continuing use as well as merchant reach, but they concern payment acceptance in other settings-not Clover VAS attachment, Fiserv revenue, or Clover returns. The second study's full article was not accessible for this case preparation.[5][6]

## Questions for discussion

1. Which of the three approaches should Fiserv emphasize next, and what evidence supports that priority?
2. What should "VAS penetration" mean for decision-making? What denominator and service-use definition would make the measure useful?
3. Which measures would distinguish merchant reach, activation, continuing service use, GPV, retention and economic contribution?
4. How should management compare channels when their costs, merchant mix and responsibilities may differ?
5. What evidence should be required before expanding a pilot, and what findings would lead you to change course?

## Selected sources

1. Fiserv, FY2023 Form 10-K, filed 22 Feb 2024, Item 1 HTML lines 185-204 and 889-894; risk discussion lines 523-530; Item 7 lines 1096-1100. [SEC filing](#).
2. Fiserv, Q4 and full-year 2023 results presentation, 6 Feb 2024, slide 5 (PDF page 5, lines 120-141); Q4 and full-year 2023 results release, lines 47-68. [Presentation](#) · [Results release](#).
3. Fiserv, 2022 Annual Report to Security Holders, CEO letter, printed p.4 / PDF viewer p.5, lines 126-151. [Annual report](#).
4. Fiserv, Q4 and full-year 2023 results release, 6 Feb 2024, lines 64-68. [Results release](#).
5. Allen et al. (2022), "Assessing incentives to increase digital payment acceptance and usage: A machine learning approach," *PLOS ONE* 17(11):e0276203. Full article, sample/methods §§3.2-3.5, results §§4.1-4.3, limitations §7. [Article](#).
6. Bounie & Camara (2020), "Card-sales response to merchant contactless payment acceptance," *Journal of Banking & Finance* 119, 105938. Abstract and indexed introductory excerpts only; full text was not accessible. [Publisher record](#).